

**Fadely, Karen**

**From:** Silawsky, Donald [Donald.Silawsky@hq.doe.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2005 9:59 AM  
**To:** Fadely, Karen  
**Subject:** FW: please forward. your doe e mails seem to be truly DYSFUNCTIONAL

KAREN: Another SPR EIS comment.

DON SILAWSKY

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Casaleno, Betsy  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2005 11:02 AM  
**To:** Silawsky, Donald  
**Subject:** FW: please forward. your doe e mails seem to be truly DYSFUNCTIONAL.

Donald:

I am the webmaster on the DOE Human Capital Management website, and I received this e-mail. I believe she was trying to send an e-mail to you, but had your name wrong. If I have sent it to the wrong person, I apologize.

Betsy Casaleno  
 Corporate Financial Systems, DOE  
 [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** jean public [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2005 10:23 AM  
**To:** Casaleno, Betsy; r [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** please forward. your doe e mails seem to be truly DYSFUNCTIONAL.

--- System Administrator <postmaster@hq.doe.gov>  
 wrote:

> From: "System Administrator" <postmaster@hq.doe.gov>  
 > To: [REDACTED]  
 > Subject: Undeliverable: public comment on federal  
 > register of 9 28 05  
 > vol 70 #187 pg 56649  
 > Date: Wed, 28 Sep 2005 13:09:58 -0400  
 >  
 > Your message  
 >  
 > To: donald.silawski@hq.doe.gov  
 > Cc: [REDACTED]  
 > Subject: public comment on federal register of

10/10/2005

S0001

> 9 28 05 vol 70 #187 pg  
> 56649  
> Sent: Wed, 28 Sep 2005 10:05:06 -0400  
>  
> did not reach the following recipient(s):  
>  
> donald.silawski@hq.doe.gov on Wed, 28 Sep 2005  
> 11:57:53 -0400  
> The recipient name is not recognized  
> The MTS-ID of the original message is: c us:a-  
> :p department of  
> en:l-HQGTNIMS10509281405Q44TMQ5K  
> MSEXCH:IMS:Department of  
> Energy:Headquarters:HQGTNIMS1 0 (000C05A6)  
> Unknown Recipient  
>  
>  
>> From: "jean public" <[REDACTED]>  
> To: donald.silawski@hq.doe.gov  
> CC: [REDACTED]  
> Subject: public comment on federal register of  
> 9/28/05 vol 70 #187 pg  
> 5664 9  
> Date: Wed, 28 Sep 2005 10:05:06 -0400  
>  
> meetings being cancelled on expansion of the  
> strategic  
> petroleum reserve.  
>  
> is there a reason why all of these meetings are in  
> southern states. why not schedule some of these  
> rescheduled meetings in the new york/new  
> jersey/pennsylvania area?  
>  
> i wonder what is the purpose of restricting these  
> meetings to the south.  
>  
> b. sachau  
> [REDACTED]  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> Yahoo! Mail - PC Magazine Editors' Choice 2005  
> <http://mail.yahoo.com>  
>

Yahoo! Mail - PC Magazine Editors' Choice 2005

10/10/2005